

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/763,356	01/23/2004	Won-Jun Lee	9898-314	7097
20575 MADGED 101	7590 08/31/2007 HNSON & MCCOLLOM,	EXAMINER		
210 SW MOR	RISON STREET, SUITE 4		ALANKO, ANITA KAREN	
PORTLAND, OR 97204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/763,356		LEE ET AL.	
İ	Examiner	Art Unit	

	Anita K. Alanko	1765			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 August 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause		
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be		ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
appeal; and/or (d) ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ecteu ciaims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		·	,		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	-		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>43-46 and 48</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,7-10,47 and 49</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	hed.		
11. \square The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
		Arrita K.	Alanko		
		Anita K Alanko Primary Examiner			

Art Unit: 1765

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant argues about the planarization and the telephone interview about allowable subject matter. In response, there must have been a misunderstanding because examiner acknowledges that CMP would be allowable because the prior art uses gaseous phase planarization, not CMP. However, claim 1 as amended with the amendment filed 8/20/07 would be allowable if it also cited that the protrusions have "exposed inner and outer surfaces" (as in allowable claim 48). Claim 47 would also be allowable if it cited planarizing and then "thereafter" wet cleaning...such that ...protrusions have "exposed inner and outer surfaces" (as in allowable claim 48). The reasons for allowance are the same as previously stated when claim 48 was allowed.

Additionally, examiner notes that page 4, line 21-22 refers to a table which is not present, and explicit basis for "exposed inner and outer surfaces" should be added at the appropriate place in the specification.

Anita K. Hanko Anita K. Alanko Primary Examiner Art Unit 1765